## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 12-132 (DRD)

CARLOS VALENTIN APONTE [9],

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Carlos Valentín Aponte was charged in Counts One through Five of an Indictment and he agreed to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment. Count One charges that, beginning in or about December, 2006, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the Municipality of Ponce, District of Puerto Rico, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, the defendants herein, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute and to distribute controlled substances, to wit: two hundred eighty (280) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine base (commonly known as "crack"), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; one (1) kilogram or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; five (5) kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; one hundred (100) kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing detectable amount of Oxycodone (commonly known as Percocet), a Schedule II

Report and Recommendation

Page 2

Controlled Substance; and a mixture or substance containing detectable amounts of Alprazolam (commonly known as Xanax), a Schedule IV Controlled Substance, all within the real properties comprising housing facilities owned by a public housing authority, that is, the Aristides Chavier Public Housing Project, as prohibited by Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and 860. All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

On August 20, 2013, defendant appeared before this Magistrate Judge, since the Rule11 hearing was referred by the Court. Defendant was provided with a Waiver of Right to Trial by Jury, which he signed and agreed upon voluntarily after examination in open court, under oath.

Defendant indicated and confirmed his intention to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment, upon being advised of his right to have said proceedings before a district judge of this court. Upon verifying through defendant's statement his age, education and any relevant aspect as to the use of medication, drugs, alcohol or substance dependency, and psychological or psychiatric condition, to ascertain his capacity and ability to understand, answer and comprehend the interactive colloquy with this Magistrate Judge, a determination was made as to defendant's competency and ability to understand the proceedings.

Having further advised defendant of the charges contained in above-stated Count One, he was examined and verified as being correct that: he had consulted with his counsel Artemio Rivera Rivera, prior to the hearing for change of plea, that he was satisfied with the services provided by his legal representative and had time to discuss with him all aspects of the case, insofar, among other things, regarding the change of plea, the consent to proceed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The form entitled Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

Report and Recommendation

Page 3

before a United States Magistrate Judge, the content of the Indictment and the charges therein, his constitutional rights and the consequences of the waiver of same.

Defendant was specifically apprised by this Magistrate Judge that, upon withdrawing his initial plea of not guilty and now entering a plea of guilty to the charge specified, he was waiving his right to a public, speedy, and a trial by jury constituted by twelve jurors who have to unanimously agree to a verdict. He was also waiving his right to be presumed innocent and for the government to meet the obligation of establishing his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, he was waiving his right during said trial to confront the witnesses who were to testify against him and be able to cross-examine them, through counsel at said trial, as well as present evidence on his behalf. He was also waiving the right to compel the attendance of witnesses and that subpoenas be issued to have them appear in court to testify. Defendant was specifically apprised of his right to take the stand and testify, if he so decided, or not to testify, and no inference or decision as to his guilt could be made from the fact if he decides not to testify. Defendant was also explained his right not to incriminate himself; that upon such a waiver of all above-discussed rights a judgment of guilty and his sentence were to be based on his plea of guilty, and he would be sentenced by the judge after considering the information contained in a pre-sentence report.

As to all the above, defendant provided an individualized and positive acknowledgment of each and every waiver and, with the assistance of his counsel Rivera Rivera, indicated he freely and voluntarily waived those rights and understood the consequences. During all this colloquy, defendant was made aware that he could freely request from this Magistrate Judge any additional clarification, repetition, or ask questions and that he may consult with his attorney at any given time as to any issue.

Report and Recommendation

Page 4

Defendant expressed his understanding of the penalties prescribed by statute for the offenses as to which he was pleading guilty. The penalty for the offense charged in Count One is minimum mandatory term of imprisonment of ten (10) years and not more than life imprisonment, a fine not to exceed twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000.00) and a term of supervised release of at least ten (10) years.

For the purpose of this Plea Agreement, the parties agree and stipulate that this defendant is accountable for conspiring to possess with intent to distribute at least 3.5 kg but less than 5 kg of cocaine. If the Court accepts this stipulation, the statutorily authorized punishment for would be a term of not less than five (5) years and not more than forty (40) years, a fine not to exceed ten (\$10,000,000.00) million dollars, and a term of supervised release of at least eight (8) years in addition to any term of incarceration. In accordance with Title 21, United States Code, Section 860, the maximum penalties would be twice that of Section 841(b)(1)(B).

Defendant shall also pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00), per count of conviction.

Having ascertained directly from defendant that he had not been induced in any way to plead guilty, that no one had forced him in any way to plead guilty, nor that he had been offered any reward or any other thing of value to get him to plead guilty, the document entitled "Plea Agreement", pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) of the Federal Rules of

Report and Recommendation

Page 5

Criminal Procedure, ("the Agreement") and the "Plea Agreement Supplement" were shown to defendant, verifying his signature and initials on each and every page.

Pursuant to said Agreement, and insofar as Count One, as to which defendant already was aware of the maximum possible penalties, defendant was apprised that it was up to the sole discretion of the sentencing court what the sentence to be imposed on him will be. Defendant was specifically informed that if the sentencing court were to impose a sentence which turned out to be higher or more severe than the one he might be expecting, for said reason alone, defendant would have no grounds for the court to allow him to withdraw his plea of guilty.

The above-captioned parties' estimate and agreement that appears on pages three and four, paragraph eight of the Agreement, regarding the possible applicable advisory Sentencing Guidelines, were further elaborated and explained. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1 the Base Offense Level is of Thirty (30), for at least 3.5 kilograms but less than 5 kilograms of cocaine. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.2(a)(1), an increase of two (2) levels is agreed for the offense occurred in a protected location. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, a decrease of three (3) levels is agreed for acceptance of responsibility. Therefore, the Total Offense Level is of Twenty-Nine (29), vielding an imprisonment range of eighty-seven (87) to one hundred and eight (108) months assuming a Criminal History Category of I; ninety-seven (97) to one hundred twenty-one (121) months assuming a Criminal History Category of II; and one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendant acknowledged discussing the "Plea Agreement Supplement" with his counsel and stated he understood the terms and consequences of the same. Defense counsel recognized he explained to defendant the content of the "Plea Agreement Supplement" and explained to defendant its consequences.

Report and Recommendation

Page 6

hundred and eight (108) to one hundred and thirty-five (135) months assuming a Criminal History Category of III.

There is no stipulation as to defendant's Criminal History Category.

Defendant understands that, pursuant to Rule 11(e)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court is not bound by these sentencing guidelines calculations, that is, the Court may impose a harsher or lesser sentence in spite of the recommendations set forth herein. Also, the defendant understands that defendant may not withdraw defendant's plea solely as a result of the final sentencing guidelines calculations made by the Court.

The parties will recommend a sentence within the applicable guideline range. The defendant agrees that this sentence is reasonable pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

The United States and defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to the defendant's base offense level shall be sought by the parties. The parties agree that any request by the defendant for an adjustment, departure, or a lower sentence will be considered a material breach of this Plea Agreement and the United States will be able to request the withdrawal of the Plea Agreement.

As part of the written Agreement, the government, the defendant, and his counsel also agreed they are aware that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered advisory.

As part of this plea agreement, the United States, after sentencing, will request the dismissal of the remaining counts of the Indictments pending against the defendant, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Report and Recommendation

Page 7

The government presented to this Magistrate Judge and to defend ant, assisted by his counsel, a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant had elected to go to trial, the commission of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Counsel and defendant acknowledged the evidence of the government was fully disclosed to them and previously discussed between the two. Defendant was also read and shown a document entitled "Stipulated Version of the Facts", which had been signed by defendant and his counsel and is attached to the Agreement, wherein the signature of counsel for the government also Defendant was able to understand the explanation and agreed with the appears. government's submission.

Defendant was explained that the Agreement with the government does not bind any other district, except the district of Puerto Rico, and it contained all the promises, terms and conditions which defendant, his attorney and the government, have entered.

Having once more ascertained that defendant has indicated not being induced to plead guilty, and was entering such a plea because in fact he is guilty, without any promises or predictions being made as to the sentence to be imposed by the court, defendant was informed that parole has been abolished under the advisory Sentencing Reform Act and that any sentence of imprisonment would be served, without him being released on parole. Defendant was additionally informed that prior to sentence, the sentencing judge will have a pre-sentence report and that it would be made available to him, to his counsel and to the government, so that they be allowed to correct or object to any information contained in said report which was not accurate.

Report and Recommendation

Page 8

Defendant was informed that he can appeal his conviction if he believes that his guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings which was not waived by his guilty plea. Defendant was also informed that he has a statutory right to appeal his sentence under certain circumstances particularly if the sentence is contrary to law. With few exceptions, any notice of appeal must be filed within fourteen (14) days of judgment being entered in the case. Defendant was also apprised the right to appeal is subject to certain limitations allowed by law because his Plea Agreement contains a waiver of appeal in paragraph seventeen (17) which was read to defendant in open court. Defendant recognized having knowledge of the waiver of appeal, discussing the same with his counsel and understanding its consequences. Defense counsel acknowledged discussing the waiver of appeal and its consequences with his client.

Defendant waived the reading of the Indictment in open court because he is aware of its content, indicating he availed himself of the opportunity to further discuss same with his attorney and then he positively stated that what was contained in Count One was what he had done and to which he was pleading guilty during these proceedings. Thereafter, defendant expressed in no uncertain terms that he agreed with the government's evidence as to his participation in the offense. Thereupon, defendant indicated he was pleading guilty to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 12-132 (DRD).

This Magistrate Judge after having explained to the defendant his rights, ascertaining that he was acting freely and voluntarily to the waiver of such rights and in his decision of pleading guilty, with full knowledge of the consequences thereof, and there being a basis in fact for such a plea, is recommending that a plea of guilty be entered as to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 12-132 (DRD).

United States of America v. Carlos Valentín Aponte [c	[e
United States of America v. Carlos Valentín Aponte [9] Criminal No. 12-132 (DRD) Report and Recommendation Page 9	
IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.	
The sentencing hearing is set for	r December 20, 2013 at 9:30 a.m. before Honorable
Daniel R. Domínguez, District Judge.	
San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 20 <sup>th</sup>	day of August of 2013.
	s/ CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE